UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/785,632	02/24/2004	Marc Hohmann	071308.0507	3743
31625 BAKER BOTT	7590 11/05/200 'S. I. I. P	EXAMINER		
PATENT DEP	ARTMENT	RIVELL, JOHN A		
98 SAN JACINTO BLVD., SUITE 1500 AUSTIN, TX 78701-4039			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,,	, •, • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		3753	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			C1		
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/785,632	HOHMANN, MARC		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		John Rivell	3753		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/24/	<u>'04 (application)</u> .			
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)[	,— · · ·				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims	,			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10-17</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) <u>9 and 18</u> is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
.— 10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 February 2004</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a)  accepted or b)  objected or b)  objected or a objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
<b>Priority</b>	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
2) Noti 3) Info	nt(s) ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 02242004, 03022005.	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other: IDS 062120	Date Patent Application		

Art Unit: 3753.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the cross hatching of the elements 5 and 6, demonstrative of the different materials such as for example plastic as the material of guide element 5 and steel as the material of stop element, as recited in claims 1-18, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an applications filed in Germany on August 31, 2001 and based on International Application No. PCT/DE02/03166 filed August 29, 2002.

Art Unit: 3753

Receipt is acknowledged of the German application, No. 10142609.7. However, as set forth in M.P.E.P. § 1895.01 and 1896, the Examiner is requesting a copy of the International Application in order to perfect the claim for priority.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102 (b) as being anticipated by Abrahams et al. (U. S. Pat. No. 3,810,716 cited by applicant).

The patent to Abrahams et al. discloses a "non-return valve (generally at either 26 or 28 and more specifically in figure 3) for a pump (at reciprocating piston 36), comprising a receptacle (at 58) in which a valve seat is implemented, a closing body (ball 56) and a cage element (sleeve 62 and valve stop 60) in which the closing body (56) is disposed, whereby the cage element is bipartite, comprising a guide element (sleeve 62) and a stop element (60) and the guide element (62) is made from a material having a lower modulus of elasticity (i.e. plastic. See column 4, lines 2-5) than a material of the stop element" considered to be metallic based on drawing conventions, as recited in claim 1.

Regarding claim 2, in Abrahams et al., "the guide element (62) is made from plastic (column 4, lines 3-5) or aluminum and the stop element (inherently) from steel" since steel is the most predominate metallic compound manufactured.

Application/Control Number: 10/785,632

Art Unit: 3753

Regarding claim 7, in Abrahams et al., "in the assembled state, the stop element (60) adjoins a mating surface (see fig. 2, lower surface of element 42) which is implemented on a valve housing (42)" as recited.

Regarding claim 8, in Abrahams et al. "grooves (read on plural sections of the groove at the upper end of sleeve 62 accommodating plate 60 as shown in figure 3) to accommodate the stop element are implemented in the guide element (62)" as recited.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abrahams et al. (U. S. Pat. No. 3,810,716) in view of Yates, III (U. S. Pat. No. 5,967,180).

The patent to Abrahams et al. discloses all the claimed features, including implementing "the guide element (62)... as a sleeve". The "sleeve" of Abrahams et al. does not have "at least one overflow passage on its inner circumference" (claim 3) and "wherein the stop element is press-fit into the guide element".

The patent to Yates, III discloses, in figs. 8-10, that it is known in the art to employ a ball check valve "guide" element at 16" which includes on its inner circumference flutes between undulations 30 for the purpose of closely guiding the ball valve movement while at the same time allowing for uniform fluid flow about the ball valve and that a separate "bipartite" stop element 24" "press fit" (column 4, lines 34-38) into place for the purpose of ease of assembly.

Application/Control Number: 10/785,632

**Art Unit: 3753** 

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to employ in Abrahams et al. "at least one overflow passage" on the inner circumference of guide sleeve 62 for the purpose of closely guiding the ball valve movement while at the same time allowing for uniform fluid flow about the ball valve and to employ the manufacturing step of press fitting the stop element 60 into the upper groove of the sleeve 62 for the purpose of ease of assembly as recognized by Yates, III.

Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abrahams et al. (U. S. Pat. No. 3,810,716) in view of Sanford (U. S. Pat. No. 3,491,790).

The patent to Abrahams et al. discloses all the claimed features with the exception of having "a spherical indentation is implemented in the stop element" (claim 5) and "wherein the stop element has two, three or four areas of connection to the guide element" (claim 6).

The patent to Sanford discloses, in figure 6 for example, that it is known in the art to employ in a bipartite stop element 26 which includes an "indentation" on its underside receiving the periphery of the ball check valve 25 when open, thus holding the ball check valve centrally within the guide sleeve/chamber when open allowing for uniform discharge of fluid from the plural openings of the valve as well as having "four (27, 28, 29, 30) areas of contact" between the stop element 26 and the associated guide sleeve between openings 14, 15, 16, 17 for the purpose of providing even holding forces retaining the valve stop in place under load from the ball when in the open position.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to employ in Abrahams et al. an "indentation" on the underside of bipartite stop element 60, receiving ball element 56 when in the open

Art Unit: 3753

position and to include at least "four areas of contact" between the stop element 60 and the sleeve 62 for the purpose of holding the ball check valve 56 centrally within the guide sleeve 62 when open allowing for uniform discharge of fluid from the plural openings 80 of the valve and providing even holding forces retaining the valve stop in place under load from the ball when in the open position, respectively, as recognized by Sanford.

Claims 10, 11, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abrahams et al. (U. S. Pat. No. 3,810,716) in view of the Prior Art of instant figure 12.

In making and/or using the device of Abrahams et al. one necessarily performs a "method for delivering (fluid) comprising the steps of:... providing a non-return valve (26, 28) for (a) high pressure pump (represented at pump piston 36), wherein the non-return valve comprises a receptacle (within sleeve 62) in which a valve seat (at the upper end of plate 58) is implemented, a closing body (valve ball 56) and a cage element (sleeve 62 and stop 60) in which the closing body (56) is disposed, whereby the cage element is bipartite, comprising a guide element (sleeve 62) and a stop element (60) and the guide element (62) is made from a material (plastic column 4, lines 2-5) having a lower modulus of elasticity than a material of the stop element (considered to be metallic based on drawing conventions)" as recited in claim 10.

Thus the patent to Abrahams et al. discloses all the claimed features with the exception of having the provision of "a high-pressure pump for delivering fuel for a common rail injection system".

The prior art as disclosed in figure 12 of the instant application discloses that it is known in the art to employ a ball check valve *per se* as a check valve utilized in "a high-

Application/Control Number: 10/785,632

Art Unit: 3753

pressure pump for delivering fuel for a common rail injection system" for the purpose of allowing one way fluid flow in the fuel injection system the device is employed in.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to employ the check valve of Abrahams et al. as a one way valve in a high pressure pump for delivering fluid flow in a fuel injection system" for the purpose of allowing one way fluid flow in the fuel injection system the device is employed in as recognized by the prior art of instant application figure 12.

Regarding claim 11, in Abrahams et al., "the guide element (62) is made from plastic (column 4, lines 3-5) or aluminum and the stop element (inherently) from steel" since steel is the most predominate metallic compound manufactured.

Regarding claim 16, in Abrahams et al., "in the assembled state, the stop element (60) adjoins a mating surface (see fig. 2, lower surface of element 42) which is implemented on a valve housing (42)" as recited.

Regarding claim 17, in Abrahams et al. "grooves (read on plural sections of the groove at the upper end of sleeve 62 accommodating plate 60 as shown in figure 3) to accommodate the stop element are implemented in the guide element (62)" as recited.

Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abrahams et al. (U. S. Pat. No. 3,810,716) in view of the Prior Art of instant figure 12 as applied to claims 10, 11, 16 and 17 above further in view of Yates, III (U. S. Pat. No. 5,967,180) as applied to claims 3 and 4 above.

Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abrahams et al. (U. S. Pat. No. 3,810,716) in view of the Prior Art of instant figure 12 as applied to claims 10, 11, 16 and 17 above further in view of Sanford (U. S. Pat. No. 3,491,790) as applied to claims 5 and 6 above.

Art Unit: 3753

Claims 9 and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Rivell whose telephone number is (571) 272-4918. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 6:30am-3:00pm (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Huson can be reached on (571) 272-4887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/ John Rivell
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3753